# ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR & MAIDENHEAD PLANNING COMMITTEE

#### MAIDENHEAD DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PANEL

## **Appeal Decision Report**

27 April 2018 - 24 May 2018



#### **MAIDENHEAD**

**Appeal Ref.:** 17/60117/REF **Planning Ref.:** 17/00686/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/17/

3186099

Appellant: Mrs Lucy Pickering 116 Woodlands Road Ashurst Southampton SO40 7AL

Decision Type: Officer Recommendation: Refuse

**Description:** Construction of a pair of detached cottages.

Location: Land Opposite Lenore Cottage Rolls Lane Holyport Maidenhead

**Appeal Decision:** Allowed **Decision Date:** 29 March 2018

Main Issue: The Planning Inspector considered that although the proposed dwellings would be

inappropriate development in the Green Belt, the existence of an extant planning permission for a single-storey bungalow was relevant. The Inspector considered that the extant permission represented a realistic fallback and that, when compared to this, the harm to the Green Belt caused by the proposed development would not be materially greater. The Inspector concluded this clearly outweighed the harm to the Green Belt such that very special circumstances existed to justify allowing the development. The costs application was

refused.

Appeal Ref.: 17/60118/NOND Planning Ref.: 17/02821/CPD Plns Ref.: APP/T0355/X/17/

ET 3188459

Appellant: Mrs Lucy Pickering 116 Woodlands Road Ashurst Southampton SO40 7AL

Decision Type: Delegated Officer Recommendation: Would Have

Refused

**Description:** Certificate of lawfulness to determine whether the proposed replacement building is lawful

Location: Land Opposite Lenore Cottage Rolls Lane Holyport Maidenhead SL6 2JQ

**Appeal Decision:** Dismissed **Decision Date:** 29 March 2018

**Main Issue:** The premise underlying the appeal was that the existing use of the site was for B8 storage,

in which case the proposed building would be 'permitted development'. The Council's submitted that the site had been used as a builder's yard, a sui generis' use, and therefore did not benefit from permitted development rights. The Planning Inspector found that the lack of clarity and inconsistency inherent in the appellant's evidence demonstrated that the appeal site did not fall within Class B8 of the Use Classes Order and therefore the proposed development was not permitted development. An application by the appellant for an award

of costs was refused.

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60018/REF **Planning Ref.:** 17/02609/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/17/

3187940

Appellant: Mr James Rogers Housing Solutions Crown House Crown Square Maidenhead SL6 8BY

Decision Type: Delegated Officer Recommendation: Refuse

**Description:** Construction of x2 bin sheds (retrospective)

Location: Land At 36 And 38 Wessex Way And 2 And 4 Cumbria Close And 2 To 24 Northumbria

**Road Maidenhead** 

Appeal Decision: Dismissed Decision Date: 1 May 2018

Main Issue: By reason of their bulky appearance, utilitarian design and prominent position in the front and

side gardens, the bin stores detract from the open plan character of the site and appear as unduly dominant features in the streetscene. They are also publicly visible when approaching in both directions on Wessex Way, Northumbria Road and Cumbria Close, which intensifies their harmful impact. In view of the above, both bin stores are harmful to the character and appearance of the area. The scheme therefore conflicts with Policy DG1 of the Local Plan which seeks, amongst other things, to ensure that new development is compatible with the established streetscene and does not result in the loss of important features which contribute

to its character.

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60025/REF **Planning Ref.:** 16/03138/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/17/

3190870

Appellant: Mr And Mrs M Crown c/o Agent: Mr Neil Boddington Boddingtons Planning Ltd 31 Shirburn

Street Watlington Oxfordshire OX49 5BU

**Decision Type:** Committee **Officer Recommendation:** Application

Permitted

Description: New dwelling following demolition of existing extension and garage at No. 29 Cranbrook

Drive

Location: Land At 29 Cranbrook Drive Maidenhead

Appeal Decision: Dismissed Decision Date: 17 May 2018

Main Issue: The Planning Inspector considered the insertion of a double-fronted detached house on a

relatively small plot would present a cramped and uncomfortable addition. Unlike the majority of two-storey detached corner dwellings in the area, the size of the plot of No 29 and the new dwelling would be uncharacteristically small. Coupled with the narrow gaps to the side boundaries, the rhythm and careful spacing of houses that typify the wider suburb would be compromised. The scheme would make provision for an open area to the front, off-street parking and a portion of rear garden. However, it would impose a substantial amount of new development and bulk with a footprint that extends rearwards in to the garden plot. The impact would be to substantially erode the extent of space around No.29. The dwelling would overwhelm the plot and ultimately the site's contribution to the sense of openness and greenery that characterises the wider area would be diminished. The proposal would appear dominant and prominent in its local context and would be visible from a number of neighbouring properties and their gardens. The Planning Inspector did not consider the proposed development would harm the living conditions of No.27, but due to the identified

harm to the character and appearance of the area, the appeal was dismissed.

Appeal Ref.: 18/60029/REF Planning Ref.: 17/02093/VAR Plns Ref.: APP/T0355/D/17/

3191058

Appellant: Danny Barney c/o Agent: Mr Ken Marshall Monyash Curls Lane Maidenhead SL6 2QF

Decision Type: Delegated Officer Recommendation: Refuse

**Description:** Two storey rear extension with first floor side dormer window as approved under planning

permission 14/01151 without complying with part condition 3 (first floor window(s) to amend

window to be openable for means of escape.

Location: Beau Regard Smithfield Road Maidenhead SL6 3NP

Appeal Decision: Allowed Decision Date: 23 April 2018

Main Issue: The Inspector concluded that a condition based on the appellant's suggested alternative

arrangement for window openings would address effectively the maintenance of privacy in accordance with Policy H14 of the Council's Local Plan. Accordingly, the Inspector concluded that the alternative condition is reasonable and necessary to maintain the privacy of the neighbouring occupiers of the property known as Dorrie and, therefore, the appeal

should succeed with the alternative condition in place.

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60034/REF **Planning Ref.:** 17/02443/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355W/17/

3189731

Appellant: Mr C Josephs - Partbridge Estates c/o Agent: Mr Anthony Allen Allen Planning Ltd The Old

Fire Station EC Salt Lane Salisbury SP1 1DU

Decision Type: Delegated Officer Recommendation: Refuse

**Description:** Third floor roof extension to create 1self-contained (studio) apartment

Location: Gardiner And Leader 23 Queen Street Maidenhead SL6 1NB

Appeal Decision: Dismissed Decision Date: 4 May 2018

Main Issue: The Inspector concluded that the proposed third floor roof extension would materially harm

the appearance of the area, including the conservation area, which would not therefore be preserved. Consequently it would conflict with policies DG1, CA2 and MTC4 which collectively seek to protect the character and appearance of the area, including the

conservation area and town centre location.

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60035/REF **Planning Ref.:** 16/03440/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/17/

3189525

Appellant: Mr Sid Dhillon c/o Agent: Mr Paul Butt Planning Ltd 8 Hyde Copse Marcham

Abingdon Oxfordshire OX13 6PT

**Decision Type:** Committee **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Construction of two detached dwellings (house A and B) and a new access onto Sandisplatt

Road to serve House B following demolition of 1 Woodfield Drive.

Location: Piersburgh House 1 Woodfield Drive Maidenhead SL6 4NX

Appeal Decision: Dismissed Decision Date: 4 May 2018

Main Issue: Views from Sandisplatt Road of the rear elevation of House A in close proximity to the flank

elevation of House B would be at odds with the more open and spacious character of the area and general characteristics of the Leafy Residential Suburb character area. The proposal would also result in the pressure to prune or fell existing trees, which make a substantial contribution to the character of this section of road, due to their relationship and distance from habitable rooms in the proposed development, to the detriment of their health and longevity. Given the orientation of House B the proposal would introduce views into the garden of 2 Woodfield Drive resulting in loss of privacy. The distance would not be sufficient mitigation and screening by planting would not address the issue in the short term. The

benefit additional housing is given limited weight due to the limited number of houses.

Appeal Ref.: 18/60038/REF Planning Ref.: 17/01897/FULL Plns Ref.: APP/T0355/D/18/

3194942

Appellant: Miss Michelle Hawthorn 23 Farmers Way Maidenhead SL6 3PJ

**Decision Type:** Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Erection of a new 2m high fence which has extended the area of the enclosed garden.

(retrospective)

Location: 23 Farmers Way Maidenhead SL6 3PJ

Appeal Decision: Dismissed Decision Date: 4 May 2018

Main Issue: The development fails to comply with Policies DG1 and H14 of the Royal Borough of

Windsor and Maidenhead Local Plan (1999, incorporating alterations adopted 2003), which seek to ensure that development is sympathetic to its surroundings and does not adversely impact on the streetscene or on the amenities of adjoining properties. It also fails to satisfy paragraphs 61 and 64 of the National Planning Policy Framework which seek high quality inclusive design which takes the opportunity to improve the character and quality of an area.

### **Planning Appeals Received**

## 27 April 2018 - 24 May 2018

#### **MAIDENHEAD**

The appeals listed below have been received by the Council and will be considered by the Planning Inspectorate. Should you wish to make additional/new comments in connection with an appeal you can do so on the Planning Inspectorate website at https://acp.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/ please use the PIns reference number. If you do not have access to the Internet please write to the relevant address, shown below.

Enforcement appeals: The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol,

BS1 6PN

Other appeals: The Planning Inspectorate Temple Quay House, 2 The Square Bristol BS1 6PN

Ward:

Parish: Maidenhead Unparished

Appeal Ref.: 18/60053/REF Planning Ref.: 17/03098/FULL Pins Ref.: APP/T0355/W/18/

3196911

1 May 2018 5 June 2018 **Date Received: Comments Due:** 

Type: Refusal Appeal Type: Written Representation **Description:** Change of use of existing HMO (Class C4) to a large HMO (Sui Generis) (Retrospective)

Location: Tesca 16 Belmont Road Maidenhead SL6 6JW

Appellant: Mr Leeming c/o Agent: Mrs Emily Temple ET Planning Ltd Beechey House 87 Church

Street Crowthorne RG45 7AW

Ward:

Parish: Maidenhead Unparished

Appeal Ref.: 18/60054/REF Planning Ref.: 17/02668/FULL Pins Ref.: APP/T0355/W/18/

3193556

**Date Received:** 2 May 2018 **Comments Due:** 6 June 2018

Written Representation Type: Refusal Appeal Type: Change of use from C2 to 7 x self-contained residential flats (use class C3) incorporating **Description:** part two storey/ part single storey rear extension with basement, replacement roof with front

and rear dormers and side rooflights, and extension to existing rear access.

74 Norfolk Road Maidenhead SL6 7AZ Location:

Appellant: Mr Amer Awan 32 Castleview Road Slough SL3 7NQ

Ward:

Parish: Maidenhead Unparished

Appeal Ref.: 18/60055/REF Pins Ref.: Planning Ref.: 17/02604/CLD APP/T0355/X/17/

3191078

**Date Received:** 9 May 2018 Comments Due: 20 June 2018

Type: Refusal Appeal Type: Written Representation **Description:** Certificate of lawfulness to determine whether existing use of the workshop as B1C - Light

Industrial for furniture repairs, general building works, ancillary storage of tools, materials

and paperwork is lawful

Location: 21A Boyn Valley Road Maidenhead SL6 4DT

Appellant: Mr R Tucker c/o Agent: Mrs Emily Temple ET Planning Ltd Beechey House 87 Church

Street Crowthorne Berkshire RG45 7AW

Ward:

Parish: Hurley Parish

Appeal Ref.: 18/60056/REF Planning Ref.: 17/02232/CPD Pins Ref.: APP/T0355/X/17/

3189850

**Date Received:** 10 May 2018 **Comments Due:** 21 June 2018

Type: Refusal Appeal Type: Written Representation **Description:** Certificate of lawfulness to determine whether a single storey rear extension is lawful

Location: Haycroft High Street Hurley Maidenhead SL6 5LT Appellant: Mr & Mrs J Dunn c/o Agent: Mr Christian Leigh Leigh And Glennie Ltd 6 All Souls Road

Ascot Berkshire SL5 9EA

Ward:

Parish: Hurley Parish

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60057/REF **Planning Ref.:** 17/01417/CPD **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/X/17/

3189849

**Date Received:** 10 May 2018 **Comments Due:** 21 June 2018

Type: Refusal Appeal Type: Written Representation

**Description:** Single storey rear extension

Location: Haycroft High Street Hurley Maidenhead SL6 5LT

Appellant: Mr & Mrs J Dunn c/o Agent: Mr Christian Leigh Leigh And Glennie Ltd 6 All Souls Road

Ascot Berkshire SL5 9EA

Ward:

Parish: Maidenhead Unparished

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60058/ENF **Enforcement** 17/50246/ENF **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/C/18/

**Ref.:** 3195152

Date Received: 10 May 2018 Comments Due: 21 June 2018

**Type:** Enforcement Appeal **Appeal Type:** Written Representation **Description:** Appeal against the Enforcement Notice: Without planning permission, the erection of a two

storey side and rear extension to include a rear dormer.

Location: 29 Holmanleaze Maidenhead SL6 8AW

Appellant: Mrs Hansna Rukshana Nehar c/o Agent: Mr Neil Davis Davis Planning Ltd 19 Woodlands

Avenue Winnersh Wokingham Berkshire RG41 3HL

Ward:

Parish: Maidenhead Unparished

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60059/REF **Planning Ref.:** 17/03320/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/18/

3198861

Date Received:15 May 2018Comments Due:Not ApplicableType:RefusalAppeal Type:Householder

**Description:** Side dormer

Location: 2 Simpson Close Maidenhead SL6 8RZ

Appellant: Mr R Khan 2 Simpson Close Maidenhead SL6 8RZ

Ward:

Parish: White Waltham Parish

 Appeal Ref.:
 18/60060/REF
 Planning Ref.:
 17/03732/FULL
 Plns Ref.:
 APP/T0355/D/18/

3199411

Date Received:15 May 2018Comments Due:Not ApplicableType:RefusalAppeal Type:Householder

**Description:** Part single, part two storey rear extension, two storey side extension, single storey front and

side extension, attached garage with room over with 3 no dormers, alterations to

fenestration, and new widened vehicular access

Location: 24 Walgrove Gardens White Waltham Maidenhead SL6 3SL

Appellant: Mr Renato Iavagnilio c/o Agent: Mr Stuart Keen SKDdesign Ltd Unit 16 Woodlands

Business Park Woodlands Park Avenue Maidenhead SL6 3UA

Ward:

Parish: Maidenhead Unparished

Appeal Ref.: 18/60062/REF Planning Ref.: 17/02467/FULL Plns Ref.: APP/T0355/D/18/

3198183

Date Received:15 May 2018Comments Due:Not ApplicableType:RefusalAppeal Type:Householder

**Description:** Alterations at front to access, parking and boundary treatment including new driveway, drop

kerb, new wall and gate

Location: 192 Bath Road Maidenhead SL6 4LE

Appellant: Mr Steve Brown 5 Arundel Close Maidenhead Berkshire SL6 5JY

Ward:

Parish: Maidenhead Unparished

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60064/REF **Planning Ref.:** 17/02220/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/18/

3197283

**Date Received:** 16 May 2018 **Comments Due:** 20 June 2018

Type: Refusal Appeal Type: Written Representation

**Description:** Construction of 2 x two-bedroom flats

Location: Land At 53 And 54 Brunel Road Maidenhead

Appellant: Mr Jora Singh Dhillon c/o Agent: Mr Paul Zyda Zyda Law 44 Wellington Road Nantwich

CW5 7BX

Ward:

Parish: Maidenhead Unparished

Appeal Ref.: Planning Ref.: 18/60065/REF 17/01107/FULL PIns Ref.: APP/T0355/W/17/

3191002

**Date Received:** 17 May 2018 **Comments Due:** 21 June 2018 Type: Refusal Appeal Type: Hearing

**Description:** Construction of a new community centre for use by the Hindu Society of Maidenhead and the

wider community, to include associated parking, bin storage and cycle store

Location: RBWM Boulters Lock Car Park Lower Cookham Road Maidenhead SL6 8JT Appellant:

Mr M Malhotra c/o Agent: Mr Paul Dickinson Paul Dickinson And Associates Highway

House Lower Froyle Hants GU34 4NB